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Mining in Remote Areas
Issues and Impacts

a community primer
Introduction

The mining industry plays a leading role in opening up remote areas to industrial development.

Mine development brings with it the promise of a wide range of benefits. The promoters of a new mine often point to the jobs that will be available, the power and transportation infrastructure that will be created, or the new tax and other revenues that will be generated.

For communities on the receiving end of mineral development, however, there are also costs — potentially dramatic ones.

To respond effectively to the challenges of mineral development, communities need the context and information necessary to understand and weigh the issues.

This booklet profiles major impacts associated with mines developed in remote areas.

In the past, development of mining projects in remote areas of Canada (e.g., the far north) has been limited by the costs associated with transportation and infrastructure. By definition, remote areas may be far from the nearest road or port and power grid. However, as older ore bodies are being depleted, mining companies are increasingly looking to develop deposits located in less accessible regions of the country.

The development of remote mines has implications for the environments, for the communities and people who live nearby or use the resources there, and also for the mine workers who will be employed at these remote mine sites. There are impacts associated with every stage of mining, from exploration through to—and often beyond—closure.

Many of the impacts discussed in this booklet, such as water pollution and health effects, can occur at mines regardless of whether they are remote or not. There are, however, impacts that are specific to or more serious at remote mines, such as the impacts of roads and routes on intact ecosystems and on the aboriginal communities whose livelihoods are linked to the health of these areas.

This booklet is divided into four sections. The first deals with Environmental Impacts, highlighting issues such as the effects of roads on ecosystems, and the various sources of water pollution throughout the mining process. The second section, Community Impacts, addresses concerns related to the boom-bust nature of the mining industry, and how that affects economic and social stability of communities. Health and Safety deals with the dangers posed to workers in the mine environment, and broader community health impacts. The final section examines the role of Environmental Assessment in identifying and preventing or mitigating potential impacts.

In each section, summaries and references to relevant newspaper and magazine articles are included. Readers wanting a more detailed or technical discussion of impacts can refer to the sources cited.
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Environmental Impacts

Mining is a high stakes game in which many uncertainties still exist. The investors, the workers, the community and the environment are exposed to a high level of risks. The question is, who benefits in the short term and the long term? Who really pays the price of development — the investors, the workers, the community or the environment?

The environmental impacts of mining can begin at the exploration stage, long before the first truckload of ore is hauled to the surface. Despite advances in technology the recent track record of mining highlights many serious risks and impacts for the people and the land where minerals are discovered.

This section focuses on the impacts associated with Exploration, followed by a look at some of the major sources of environmental impacts during the Mine Development stage.

Exploration: the Edge of the Sword

“The geography and wilderness of this land has shaped us all; it is a part of what it means to be Canadian and it must not be lost in a reckless rush for industrial resources.” (1)*

The impacts from exploration tend to be spread thinly over a vast area because the initial stages of exploration primarily involve surveying large tracts of land to identify potential mineral deposits. Relatively few of the deposits identified during exploration are drilled, still fewer are drilled intensively to delineate the deposit, and in the end, only a small number of sites are ever developed into mines.

Although an individual exploration operation may have little effect on its immediate environment, the cumulative effect of thousands of kilometres of geophysical grids cut through vegetation and surface soils can cause considerable erosion, sedimentation and wildlife disturbance. (2)

Recently, major discoveries of mineral deposits have sparked exploration rushes in the northern regions of Canada.(3,4) For example, in the three years following the discovery of a major diamond deposit in 1990, more that 20 million hectares of land were staked in the Barren Lands of the Northwest Territories. This was more land than was staked there during the previous half century.(3) Similarly, in Labrador, more than 250,000 claims were staked following the Diamond Field Re-

Abandoned oil drums at exploration site, northern BC.

*Article numbers refer to references and summaries given in the side columns.
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Due to the success of Falconbridge's Raglan operation, mining companies are rushing to Nunavik after more mineral-rich deposits.

5 Mineral exploration and development at Emish (Voisey's Bay): an introduction to the issues (1995)

This information comes from the Innu Nation's website, located at http://www.innu.ca/mining.html.

6 Diesel spill at Windy Craggy (Northern Miner, 09/19/94, p. 16)

In 1994, there was an incident involving a spill of diesel oil from a storage tank at the Windy Craggy property in northwestern BC. The spill was not reported by property owner Geddes Resources; in fact, the company had to be informed of the spill by the BC Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks.

7 Labrador miners accused of littering (Globe & Mail, 12/19/95, B6)

The town council in Goose Bay says companies have abandoned thousands of fuel drums and propane cylinders across Labrador's countryside. They called on the Newfoundland government to stop mineral exploration companies from turning their region into a garbage dump. An environmental monitor for the Labrador Inuit Association reported five confirmed fuel spills, from barrels leaking into the ground to helicopters dropping drums into the sea. He warned that the problem could worsen. "After many of these junior companies come in and carry out a drilling program, when they pull out they'll leave their stuff on the land."

8 BHP Diamonds facing environmental charges over diamond mine (Canadian Press Newswire, 06/19/00)

The owner of Canada's first diamond mine has been charged with disturbing fish habitat in three lakes.

BHP Diamonds Inc. is charged with eight counts under the Fisheries Act. The alleged offences occurred during construction of the Ekati Mine, 300 kilo-
sources Inc.'s Voisey's Bay nickel discovery. If even a small portion of these claims are actively explored, the influx of people and equipment into otherwise uninhabited or sporadically used areas (e.g., by First Nations) has the potential to greatly impact both the land and its inhabitants.

The possibility of large-scale industrial development (such as the construction of an on-site smelter, and associated roads and power lines) increases when a number of projects having a high potential to become producing mines are identified in a specific region. Among other things, the Innu are concerned that if development in their region proceeds, new projects such as the Donner prospect will become more attractive, while older prospec-tive like the Strange Lake rare-earth metals deposit or the Brinex Kitts-Michelin uranium project, both initially abandoned for economic reasons, may become feasible.

Unfortunately, the impacts of mineral exploration and development are too often considered in isolation. Furthermore, in many jurisdictions there is no requirement to conduct an environmental assessment of an exploration project. These issues will be discussed below in the Environmental Assessment section.

Exploration Impacts

Pollution

Pollution during the exploration stage can stem from a variety of activities and sources.

Fuels, oils and drilling fluids can spill or leak into soils (6) leading to contamination of vegetation growing on the site. Drilling can release natural fluids, such as brines and natural gas to the surface. These contaminants can be washed into or deposited directly into streams, rivers, lakes and seawater. (7) The improper disposal of wastewater and sewage at exploration camps can also pollute nearby streams. Subsequently, the wildlife, aquatic organisms and vegetation living in the polluted environment can be poisoned by these substances.

Underground exploration work ("bulk sampling") results in ore and waste rock being deposited on the surface. Drainage through these waste rock dumps or storage piles can be a continuing source of sediments, heavy metals and acid to local watercourses (these impacts will be discussed in detail in the subsection on Mine Development: Water Quality).

Clearing vegetation for rights-of-way and test drilling sites, removal of overburden, as well as the establishment of stream crossings can result in considerable damage to the soil. The resultant erosion can produce significant sedimentation of lakes and streams (8), which can disrupt fish habitat.

Disturbance of the Land

Disturbance can result from gridlines being cut through vegetation during soil, from electromagnetic, magnetic and gravity surveys, and from drilling. The location of these gridlines usually does not reflect natural factors such as topography and vegetation. This damages more vegetation than if trails were to follow contours and bypass areas of particular natural importance. In the ecologically sensitive tundra of Labrador and the Arctic these scars can last for decades or more.

Exploration camps, set up to provide accommodations for workers, also result in soil and vegetation disturbance. The extent of those effects, as well as problems associated with the disposal of garbage and human waste, will depend on the size and design of the camp.

Stripping of overburden to exam-
Case study: Innu and Voisey’s Bay

“Innu concerns include illegal harvesting by survey workers, siting of exploration camps in areas of intensive Innu land use or cultural significance, and increased helicopter and airplane traffic over sensitive wildlife habitat or harvesting areas. Airborne geophysical surveys in Labrador involve flying transects (usually no more than 250-500 metres apart) over the claim block at altitudes of approximately 100 ft. above ground level. Innu hunters from Utshimassit (Davis Inlet) have already been flown by survey helicopters in Sango Bay. In early May, both the Innu Nation and the Canadian Wildlife Service expressed serious concern over the impacts of aerial survey work on key wildlife, such as caribou and migratory birds. To date, nothing has been done to effectively regulate these activities to protect wildlife.” (5)
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Lake-area land use permit draws fire (Whitehorse Star, 09/25/94, p.4)

A contentious land-use application in the Yukon allowing Killer Gold to use heavy equipment in an area where a caribou herd is threatened, drew criticism from environmental groups and First Nations. The area is also a Dall sheep lambing area. Groups were angry that the federal government did not put the application through more a rigorous screening, which would have allowed for more public input.

Mining boom called bust for northern wildlife (Vancouver Sun, 04/20/90, B12)

Environmentalists and resource users (e.g., trappers) are worried that exploration crews damage trapping trails, disturb sensitive fur-bearing animals such as the fisher, and allow easy access by snowmobiles in winter. They warn that an increase in access will lead to an increase in poaching.


Prepared by the Environmental Mining Council of BC (EMCBC) for the World Wildlife Fund of Canada, this report outlines a number of myths related to the mining industry, and recommends a set of principles that could be put in place to protect endangered spaces from the potential impacts of mining operations. This report can be obtained by contacting EMCBC (emcbc@miningwatch.org; tel: (250) 384-2686).


The proposal for construction of a

Mine Development: Rolling Back the Wilderness Frontier

The development phase of a mining project is the stage when the major disturbances related to mining occur.

The mining industry and Canadian government often portray mineral developments as having “small footprints,” i.e., impacting only small areas of land. The mine site itself, however, is just one point in a long line of activity before and after the digging starts. As the following subsection on Access and Transportation will show, mining operations can have far-reaching impacts.

Another favourite industry claim is that mining is a “temporary use of the land.” This, too, can be misleading. While it might be true that the mines themselves only operate for a short period of time, the impacts from these developments on water, soil, wildlife and communities can persist for decades and centuries. This is especially true for impacts on water.
Access and Transportation: The Impact of Roads

When a mining development is proposed, one key concern relates to access: how will the employees, equipment, construction materials, and processing chemicals be transported to the site, and how will the ore/minerals, employees and hazardous wastes be removed?

There are four options for transporting materials and employees: air, rail, water, and roads.

The concerns associated with transporting goods by air are primarily economic. It can be extremely costly to fly materials into a mine-site, and flying out ore or ore concentrates is feasible only in rare cases, e.g. rich gold deposits. As well, there would be noise pollution associated with the planes, and clearing of land for an airstrip, both of which could cause disturbance of wildlife and human communities.

The alternative to transporting goods by air is to transport by truck, rail or ship (or some combination thereof).

In remote northern areas many roads can only be used on a seasonal basis (i.e., in winter, when the surface is frozen). Recently, in response to the expected development of several diamond mines in the central arctic, a proposal was put forth to construct a deep-sea port at Bathurst Inlet, as well as an all-weather road from Bathurst Inlet to the south of Contwoyto Lake. The port’s promoters say a northern supply route would make other potential mining projects in the region more viable by reducing transportation costs.(13,14)

Not everyone welcomes the prospect of roads encouraging further development. “There’s much talk about an all-weather road,” comments Chris O’Brien, an environmentalist with Ecology North in Yellowknife. “Increased access leads to local depletion of animals. An all-weather road leads to other roads and gradually, it’s opened up. You hear people saying we’ve got to open up the north like it’s some kind of can. The trouble is, once it’s opened up, you can’t close it down.” (15)

The concerns voiced by various groups about the effect of roads on the environment are well founded. Some of the most significant direct and indirect impacts of mining result from the construction of exploration and mining roads.

Wildlife

Trails are often built laterally from initial access routes. While a network of trails may be a benefit for mineral exploration purposes, wildlife populations in the area become susceptible to overharvest, and the effects upon the landscape escalate in proportion to the amount of area covered.(16)

Every new road or trail in a wilderness area occupies space that was previously wildlife habitat. Some species have traditional migration patterns which, if interrupted, can lead to permanent abandonment of their range, a reduction of the population size through interference with sensitive calving grounds (3,9,17), or even the elimination of that particu-
lar group of animals.(16)

When the Omineca mine road was built in northern BC in the late 1970s, slash barriers along the road led fish and wildlife branch officers to dub it a “moose trap.” There was also concern that the piled up slash was interfering with migration of caribou herds “as effectively as a barbed wire fence.” BC Wildlife Federation spokesperson Les Story cautioned, “when you break up a migration corridor for a herd of caribou, you’re signing its death warrant.” (18)

Roads are bad news for bears, too, because they disrupt bear movements by fragmenting their ranges, and because they introduce often uncontrollable human disturbances into the area. The road slated to service Redfern Resources’ (now Redcorp Ventures Ltd.’s) Tulsequah Chief mine in northern BC has biologists worried. The historical pattern suggests that if use of the road is not restricted to Redfern activities and is subject to unrestricted access by other users, as has been the case in many other mine developments throughout BC and North America, “bears will be killed by hunters, poachers and anyone else with a gun who perceives himself or his property to be in jeopardy,” says bear expert Phil Timpany.(19)

One of the greatest worries for First Nations is that roads will increase access to traditional hunting areas, which would mean that subsistence harvesters would then have to compete with other users. The Taku River Tlingit First Nation, environmental organizations and the Alaskan government have serious concerns that a road to the Tulsequah Chief mine will lead to increased exploitation and/or destruction of the area’s resources. (17,22)

**Fish Habitat Destruction**

Roads affect watercourses by introducing sediments and pollutants. The compaction of the roadbed disrupts groundwater flows and the impervious nature of the road surface increases runoff. Runoff and diverted waters are often concentrated in culverts and channels, which, if improperly designed, can burst and cause flooding and erosion. All of these factors increase erosion and result in increased sedimentation and turbidity of the receiving waters. For example, during the construction phase of the Kemess mine in northern BC, vegetation was cleared and earth dumped into streams, leading to charges being laid against the mine’s owner, Royal Oak Mines Inc., for fish habitat destruction.(20) Trees were also cleared for a powerline to the Kemess mine without regard to the province’s Forest Practices Code, causing stream damage along the corridor. (21)

The board said an inspection in June, 1999, revealed that Royal Oak threatened water quality and fisheries after 50 fish-bearing streams were blocked with debris and slash.

---
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17. **Troubled waters: the Taku dilemma** (Globe & Mail, 08/10/98, A10)

Redfern Resources, a junior mining company, is planning to build a 160-km road, “the first ever into the wilderness,” and reopen old mine from the 1950s.

There are fears that the road will open up the area for further development. "Already, mining companies that have been dormant for years are breathing life into their claims," says Tlingit spokesperson Melvin Jack. Environmentalists argue that the road will lead to logging, more mines, and the eventual destruction of the area. The Alaskan government is "deeply concerned, fearing the mine will damage a lucrative salmon fishery," and has asked that the International Joint Commission, a body set up to deal with transboundary water disputes, conduct an independent review of the project.

18. **Environmentalists battle Omineca mine road** (Vancouver Sun, 08/10/78)

The BC Wildlife Federation was fighting the extension of the mine road, which cut northward from Fort St. James into remote Wilderness areas. Federation spokesperson, Les Storey, said that the costs were "astronomical with regard to environmental damage." The impacts included destruction of fisheries, as well as disruption of caribou feeding and migration corridors.

19. **Have the north's grizzlies met their match in mine road?** (Vancouver Sun, 05/19/98, A15)

There may be as many as 11,000 or as few as 3,000 grizzlies in BC, but there is presently a healthy population in northern BC near the Taku River. Biologists are trying to determine what the impact of the 160-km Tulsequah Chief mining road will have on the bears.

Biologist Tony Hamilton believes that if the access road is restricted to industry use the bears have a chance; but if the road is open to other traffic bears will be shot. When no regulations exist to protect bears, experience has shown this to be true time and time again.
Dumping charges laid against mine company
(Victoria Times Colonist, 04/19/99, A6)
A 16-month investigation into the dumping of earth into fish-bearing waters near Prince George ended this week with 13 charges laid against Royal Oak Mines.

The alleged offences took place between October of 1977 and May of 1988 during construction at the company’s Kemess mine, federal fisheries officer Robert Martinolich said.

Board criticizes Royal Oak for logging violations: water quality threatened by Kemess South work
(Financial Post, 06/09/00, C7)
Royal Oak Mines Inc. and three provincial government ministries caused significant harm to the environment by chopping down 10,000 truckloads of timber without regard to the province’s Forest Practices Code, the Forest Practices Board said yesterday in a report.

Royal Oak failed to comply with the code after it chopped down 300,000 cubic metres of timber to build a 380-kilometre-long power line to the Kemess South gold and copper mine, the board said.

BC Natives vow they’ll go to court to stop mining road
(Vancouver Sun, 04/20/98, B5)
The Taku River Tlingit First Nation has concerns that the 160-km access road to the site will affect their traditional hunting and fishing grounds and open up their country to other resource users “at precisely the time we are attempting to negotiate our ownership and jurisdiction of this land at the treaty table.”

The Tlingit say the environmental review did not satisfy their concerns about the impact of the road on their communities and on wildlife, especially moose and grizzly bears.

Proposal for BC mine may fuel Pacific fish fight
(Globe & Mail, 14/07/98, A7)
The Alaska government has concerns that the Tulsequah Chief mine in northern BC could destroy salmon runs vital to downstream US fisheries. The main concern is the impact of the mine’s access road, which would carry ore to At-
deposits. Soil eroded from unsta-

bilized banks and silt drained off im-
properly constructed roads.

Sedimentation can damage or de-
sroy fish habitat; reduce the number of organisms and bury aquatic vegetation on which fish feed; clog and damage fish gills and make it difficult for fish to feed; and destroy spawning areas.

The Alaskan government is concerned that the Tulsequah Chief road will impact water quality, and harm the salmon fishery on the Taku River. The access road will cross streams 69 times.(23)

According to an Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources:
“Any water crossing has the potential for significant detrimental impacts on water quality.” (24)

Les Storey of the BC Wildlife Federation has noticed the effects of water crossings and culverts on streams and rivers that parallel the Omineca Mining Road in northern BC. “Spawning grounds alongside 460 km of a gravel road lie empty partly due to siltation of spawning beds and also because salmon and steelhead have been unable to struggle through the road’s plugged culverts.” And on a newer section of the road, “there is not one river, stream, creek or rivulet that has not been damaged by road construction,” said Storey.(18)

Chemical and fuel leaks and spills also occur on roads. These substances can run off of roads into watercourses where they can produce acute toxic impacts on aquatic life.

Fish like this spawning salmon are among the first casualties of acid mine drainage and tailings breaches.

Water Quality
Water has been referred to as “mining’s most common casualty.”(25) Mining consumes, diverts and can seriously pollute water resources. When water quality is compromised, both aquatic and human communities can suffer.

While roads can seriously impact water quality, pollution of water dur-
Acid mine drainage

Acid is created when water and oxygen come in contact with sulphide-bearing minerals. The chemical reaction that takes place creates sulphuric acid, which in turn is able to dissolve minerals, releasing potentially harmful metals previously bound up in the rock. Acid may be generated under natural conditions prior to any disturbance for mining purposes. However, mining tends to exacerbate acid generation. Throughout the entire mining process minerals are broken down, crushed, and ground up, exposing much more of the sulphide minerals to air and water than would occur under natural conditions. Acid drainage occurring at mine sites is known as “acid mine drainage” or AMD for short.

According to Keith Ferguson, formerly of Environment Canada, “Acid mine drainage is perhaps the most serious environmental problem a mine can face. . . the problem is difficult to arrest, and the costs associated with long-term pollution abatement can turn a once-profitable mine into an expensive liability.”(26)

In December, 1979, there was yet another breach of the tailings pond, resulting in a spill of 2.5-4 million gallons of effluent toxic to fish.

Environmental Impacts

Environmental Guidelines for Access Roads and Water Crossings


This document, produced by the Environmental Mining Council of BC (EMCBC) discusses different sources and types of water pollution, with a focus on acid mine drainage (AMD). It also provides information on predicting AMD, and outlines a number of case studies on the various impacts and costs associated with this form of water pollution.

This report can be obtained by contacting EMCBC (emcbc@miningwatch.org, ph: 250-384-2686).

Breaking up rock sets off production of toxic cocktail (Vancouver Sun, 02/12/88, B1)

It is estimated that there are 300 million tonnes of acid-generating wastes in BC. In 1988, at least 5 of the 16 operating metal mines had AMD control programs, and six abandoned mines were acid generating.

Tsolum: Concern for this damaged river grows (Victoria Times Colonist, 10/10/95, A5)

The Mt. Washington mine operated for less than 3 years before the company (Mt. Washington Copper) went into receivership. Exposed pyrite ores reacted with oxygen and water to form AMD, which leached copper from the ore. Copper leachate moved through the watershed, eventually reaching the Tsolum River. For more than 10 years the government has attempted to remedy the problem, spending in excess of $1 million of taxpayers’ money to date.
Impacts from acid mine drainage

Acid mine drainage impacts water quality in a variety of ways. Acidity is harmful to many fish and aquatic organisms. Perhaps more importantly, many metals become mobile as pH drops (i.e., as water becomes more acidic), and at high enough concentrations these metals become toxic to most life forms.

For example, acid mine drainage at the Mt. Washington mine on Vancouver Island contributes to the leaching of copper, which is the “dreaded enemy” of young salmonids (coho, pink and chum salmon fry, and cutthroat and steelhead fry). According to Father Charles Brandt of the Steelhead Society, “It is a scientific fact that the amount of copper that finds its way yearly into the Tsolum watershed kills young salmon and deters adult salmon escaping back to the river to spawn.”(27)

If the AMD was left untreated at the Equity Silver Mine in northern BC, “people would no longer be able to drink the water.” So says Brian Wilkes, a chemical engineer with the BC Environment and Parks ministry. Copper levels in Buck Creek (the drinking water source for area residents) would be 750 times higher than the recommended level, and arsenic 20 times the recommended limit. The water treatment system required to neutralize AMD at the Equity mine site (now closed) costs the company more than $1.2 million annually. According to Wilkes, the flow of AMD at Equity could continue for up to 150,000 years, therefore, the treatment system essentially will have to continue to operate in perpetuity.(28)

Tailings: leaks, seepages and breaches

Tailings are the waste products of the milling process. During this process, the ore is crushed, ground into fine particles and mixed with water and chemicals to extract the target minerals. What is left – a liquid slurry containing the leftover rock particles, water and chemicals used in the processing – is usually piped to specially constructed containment areas, known as tailings ponds or impoundments. In these impoundments the wastes are held in by earthen dams or berms. In other cases, tailings are stored in previously excavated mine pits/shafts.

Tailings are transported from the mill to the tailings pond through pipes or ditches. Water can be contaminated when leaks develop in the pipes or when ditches overflow. This occurred at Royal Oak’s Giant Mine in Yellowknife, in 1992. More than 3,000 gallons of tailings sludge containing arsenic (31-391 times the allowable limit), cyanide, copper, lead, nickel and other chemicals were accidentally discharged by the company. The contaminated slurry eventually overflowed the drainage ditch and migrated into Baker Creek and then Great Slave Lake, where people from Yellowknife both swim and fish.(29)

Other causes of water contamination include seepages through or around tailings dams, and breaches or failures of tailings dams themselves.

“Most of these things fall down when they are not built according to design,” said Mike Campbell, executive director of the International Council on Metals and Environment, an industry-based organization. However, even if designed properly (e.g., with adequate storage capacity and drainage), construction problems may lead to failures. Because dams are most often built of material available at the mine site, there can be considerable inconsistencies in quality.(30) Earthquakes, typhoons, heavy rainfall and spring melts also add to the risks of dam failure. And perhaps one of the greatest engineer-
ing challenges faced by companies wishing to develop mines in remote northern locales is designing tailings dams in permafrost soils.

**Impacts of tailings accidents**

In the past few years, there has been a number of international tailings disasters. In many of these cases agricultural lands and other properties were contaminated, and in some cases, human deaths resulted. In regions where lands are not used for agricultural purposes, tailings spills can damage the environment in other ways, e.g., through the destruction of streams and fish habitat.

**Chemicals: leaks and spills**

A mine may use thousands of tonnes of chemicals every year to extract the target minerals from the ores, or to treat the contaminated tailings and processing waters. All of these chemicals have to be transported to the site, and then a series of pipes and holding tanks are used to move and store the chemicals within a mineral processing facility. Thus, there are many chances for spills and leaks.

In 1981, there was a major sulphur dioxide spill (approximately 41,000 litres) at Equity Silver Mine in northern BC. The chemical found its way into Buck Creek, and as a result, residents living nearby were told to stop drinking the water from the creek.

Other potential water contaminants include fuels, oil, and sewage.

The US Department of Justice recently alleged that Cominco Alaska Inc. violated the federal Clean Water Act by exceeding the allowable limits for metals and pH at their Red Dog Mine and at the mine’s Chuckchi Sea port. There were also allegations of unpermitted discharges of sewage from a temporary housing facility and more than a thousand violations at Cominco’s sewage treatment system at the port. Cominco spent more than $4.7 million to settle the lawsuit out of court.

**Erosion and Sedimentation**

Soil disturbance is greatest during the mine construction phase. The resulting vegetation disturbance, atmospheric dust and noise from construction equipment are also likely to be at their highest levels. Significant soil disturbance can lead to increased erosion, and if enough water is flowing through the site large amounts of sediment can be transported to streams and lakes, creating high turbidity and blanketing creek bottoms.

As explained above, in the subsection on road impacts, sedimentation can adversely affect aquatic organisms.
Conclusions

Mines generally close down because either the ore body has been exhausted, or prices for the mineral make it uneconomical to continue with the mining operation.

Unfortunately, even though mines close down, impacts do not necessarily cease. Acid mine drainage can be a long-term problem, persisting for centuries or even millennia. Underground mines can collapse years after closure (34), contaminated tailings impoundments can continue to be a threat to fish and wildlife, and land can take years to recover from atmospheric deposition of smelter emissions.

This potential for perennial impacts underscores the need for comprehensive planning and community-level decision making in advance of mine development.

Environmental Impacts

Impacts can remain long after the ore is gone.

Public Action

Citizen efforts can and do make a significant difference in reducing the impacts of mining. There are no hard and fast rules for individuals or community organizations wishing to take action on mining impacts, but the following are some pointers for getting started.

◊ **Research.** Learn everything you can about the company, the mine site, current proposals, and the local environment. Read up on regulations; find out who’s responsible in the company and in government. Where necessary, get expert help to understand and respond to technical issues.

◊ **Document.** Take photos or video footage, get copies of permits, produce position papers or reports, get it in writing.

◊ **Network.** Get an existing organization active on the issue, or put together a new network. Get spokespeople who know their stuff. Talk to mine union locals, First Nations, environmental groups, fishery or wildlife organizations, and government ministry staff.

◊ **Strategize.** Talk out your options, develop scenarios, anticipate possible responses, lay out your positions and your bottom line. Decide where and when to bend, and where to stand firm. Focus on identifying achievable goals. A key question here is: are you trying to stop a mine, or to improve it?

◊ **Educate.** Publish fact sheets, hold slide shows or information sessions. Make maps, contribute articles to newsletters.

◊ **Advocate.** Attend meetings, speak up, talk and write to mine managers and government officials. Make your position heard on committees. Speak knowledgeably to the issues.

◊ **Publicize.** Hold press briefings, announce reports, respond to developments.

◊ **Monitor.** The best plan is only worthwhile if it is actually carried out. Check up, ground truth. Make sure that agreements are lived up to, and take action if they are not.

◊ **Celebrate.** Don’t be all doom and gloom. Acknowledge positive changes. Thank your colleagues. Celebrate successes, small and large.
Community Impacts

Mining development has the potential to completely transform economic, social and cultural aspects of life in nearby communities.

As with any major development in remote or populated areas, the balance between positive and negative impacts needs to be carefully assessed in light of past performance and future promises of the company (and industry) involved. The gap between promise and performance has often weighed against the interests of community sustainability. Some of the factors governing impacts are outlined below.

The Economics of Boom and Bust

The most obvious positive impact of a mining development is the potential economic benefit derived by nearby communities. Mines can contribute to a community’s economy by providing employment to community members, and by pumping money into the local economy through the purchase of goods and services.

Unfortunately, economic benefits related to mining are often short-term. Diamonds may be forever, but diamond mines are not. Minerals are non-renewable resources: when an ore body is depleted, the mine shuts down. Mines will never be a permanent answer to a community’s economic woes.

Furthermore, the reality of the industry is that global prices are the major determinant of whether or not mines continue operating. Mines often shut down temporarily due to low metal prices, and workers, in the meantime, are laid off.(35,37) Thus, mining operations do not necessarily provide long-term economic stability for either individual workers or the community at large.

Employment

While it is true that employment opportunities are created when mining projects are developed, there are issues regarding who will get the jobs (local vs. outside workers), how long the jobs will last, and whether or not the people of the community even want to work at a mine.

Many mining jobs require highly skilled or specially trained employees, and if members of nearby communities do not have the required skills the workforce must come from outside the region.

Promises of jobs and training programs are often offered by mining companies and governments as part of an agreement with communities who risk being impacted by a proposed mine. (38,40) Unfortunately, the promise of jobs does not always translate into long-term employment.(39)

Lessons can be learned from the oil and gas industry. When oil and gas exploration boomed in the 1970s and 1980s many companies pursued Native employment and business creation. But relatively few Native people gained permanent or significant work and, in any case, job creation was fairly localized. Now that the oil boom is over, those jobs no longer exist.

Articles with underlined titles are available as reprints from Mining-Watch Canada.

35 Curragh lays off 177, may close Faro mine
(Financial Post, 02/23/93)
The Faro mine is the Yukon’s largest private-sector employee. The layoffs are temporary pending a $29-million government loan guarantee to develop the new Grum ore body. Curragh has been squeezed by a global glut of zinc concentrates that has weakened demand and sparked cutbacks from other major producers.

36 Death and rebirth of a town
(Up Here, Nov/Dec 1996, v.12(6), pp. 16-18)
This article by freelance writer Brenda Barnes follows the boom-bust cycle of the mining town of Faro in the Yukon. The town, created to house and provide services for the workers of the mine, has had its population fluctuate between 2,100 and 90 residents over its 30-year history. The article includes interviews with some die-hard Faro residents, who are intent on staying in the community. These folks are working on devising community economic strategies to keep Faro alive during temporary shutdowns and after the inevitable closure of the mine.

37 A northeastern BC company mining town was knocked hard with word key employer Quintette Coal was closing 30 months sooner than planned
(Canadian Press Newswire, 03/02/00)
A northeastern B.C. company mining town was knocked hard Wednesday with word key employer Quintette Coal was closing 30 months sooner than planned. About 500 workers at the massive open-pit operation will lose their jobs. Sliding coal prices and reduced export volumes plus a strengthening Canadian dollar forced Quintette operator Teck Corp. to move up plans to close the money-losing mine to this August. The mine wasn’t supposed to close until March 2003.
### Community Impacts

**Company pledges jobs for Natives** *(Globe & Mail, 10/21/96, A1, A8)*

BHP recently signed an agreement with the government of the Northwest Territories committing the company to hiring up to 2/3 of its diamond mine workforce from northern and aboriginal communities (at least half must be Native). As well, the company must report regularly to the government on meeting its commitments (on hiring, training and business opportunities).

BHP has also agreed to pay airfare for shift workers from communities as far away as the Arctic coast. In return, the territorial government will provide accelerated apprenticeship programs to help tradespeople take advantage of the project.

**Natives cry foul over jobs at mine** *(Daily Commercial News, 10/21/98, B1, B2)*

Aboriginal leaders fear their people are being pigeonholed in service and security jobs at diamond mines in the Northwest Territories. “We don’t want just truck-driving jobs,” said Bob Dowdell, executive director of the North Slave Metis Alliance.

He said aboriginal people are not being trained for the highly skilled operations positions.

“Clearly we need a training plan,” agreed Bill Erasmus, national chief of the Dene First Nation.

**Falconbridge signs historic deal with Inuit** *(Montreal Gazette, 03/01/95, B4)*

Falconbridge’s Raglan nickel mine will change the landscape of an area of northern Quebec forever with the construction of 10 open-pit and underground mines, new roads, port and airport facilities and residences.

According to the company’s environmental impact statement, the project’s impacts include high-acid mine tailings, gas and smoke emissions, increased airborne dust, use of ice-breakers and large tankers for transport and the disposal of effluent from sewage and mine operations. Consequently, marine plankton, fish, caribou, fox and seal populations will be affected.

In exchange for the rights to develop and operate the project, Falconbridge will pay $75 million in compensation to the Inuit.

The Inuit will get at least 150 of the longer exist. (41)

Another issue related to employment is that even if jobs are available, the work might not be agreeable to members of the community. (31, 39)

At the Faro mine in the Yukon, “jobs at the mine were tried and quickly abandoned by most [aboriginal] people as unattractive for many reasons, including scheduling, discrimination, and working conditions. Other types of employment, particularly seasonal and part-time work, were in demand.” (31)

The Faro experience is not an isolated case. Susan Wismer writes that while exploration work can be compatible with subsistence activities of aboriginal people in the northern regions of Canada, experience with jobs in the mines themselves has been less favourable. “The nature of the work, its scheduling into shifts that are often at least two weeks in length, the distance of mine sites from home communities, and the need for a consistent and reliable workforce that does not take time off on a seasonal basis, creates a situation in which the benefits of employment in the mines are often offset by the costs of social and family disruption and loss of opportunities to participate adequately in community life.” (43)

### Case study: Faro’s Economic Rollercoaster

In 1969, Cyprus-Anvil Mining Corporation built the town of Faro to accommodate workers for their lead-zinc mine, the second largest in North America.

The 1970s and early 1980s were the boom years in Faro, when the town’s population peaked at over 2,100 and additional camp facilities were built to house the more than 700 mine workers.

In 1982, though, prices of lead and zinc fell sharply, and by June of that year, production at the mine had come to a grinding halt. A planned three-week shutdown became eight weeks and then 17. In September, the mine announced it would not be resuming operations that winter. The announcement caused a mass exodus of employees and their families, and Faro’s population dropped to less than 900.

In 1984, Cyprus-Anvil announced it was mothballing the mine. As a result, the population plunged to 90, and Faro became a ghost town.

In 1985, a new buyer, Curragh Resources, surfaced and Faro’s population boomed once again. The mine operated until 1993, when lead-zinc prices plummeted, forcing Curragh to close the mine and seek protection from its creditors.

In November 1994, another company, Anvil Range bought the mine.

In February 1998, Anvil Range, like Curragh, sought protection from its creditors and the mine went into receivership.

Unless a new buyer is willing to assume the environmental liability associated with the huge tailings pond and numerous acid-generating waste rock piles, the mine site will remain dormant. And with the mainstay of the town’s economy lost, the people of Faro must find other means of generating income or else Faro will once again be nothing but a ghost town. (36)
In 1966, the Mt. Washington Mine on Vancouver Island went into receivership after only two years of operation. Yet it left a legacy of acid mine drainage and heavy metal pollution that continues to flow untreated into the Tsolum River. The concentration of copper, particularly harmful to young salmon, has all but wiped out the once healthy salmon runs. It has been estimated that the loss of the salmon fishery costs nearby communities $2 million a year. To date, the province has already invested $1 million in its attempts to deal with the problem. The most probable means of controlling the AMD would cost taxpayers at least an additional $6 million.

At Equity Silver mine in northern BC there is presently a system in place that treats the acid mine drainage that continues to flow from the mine. If the treatment system were to fail for an extended period of time, the AMD would cause the poisoning and eventual loss of all fish as far as 215 km downstream. The resultant potential loss of economic benefits and employment from commercial and sports fisheries is estimated to be in the range of $4.3 million per year.

Negative impacts on human health may result from mining operations, but it is difficult to attach a dollar figure to those impacts.

As Lloyd Tataryn writes, "the Indians in the NWT have not been the primary beneficiaries of the arsenic-contaminating industries located in Yellowknife. We feel it is unjust that companies can make profits from jeopardizing the health of the people who have lived on the land since time immemorial."(45)

Community health impacts related to mining are addressed in more detail in the next section of this kit: Health and Safety.

Social and Cultural Impacts
The social and cultural implications of mining developments are often brushed under the rug in order to avoid unnecessary controversy. Yet once mining starts, the social and cultural impact can be pervasive. The need for new skills and training has been a key issue in many communities. Yet, as we have seen, training is often a distant second to meeting the demand for labor. Is there a way to meet the labor demand while reducing negative impacts on the community?

Case Study: Need for Targetted Training
In 1995, Falconbridge signed an "historic" agreement with the Inuit in northern Quebec. Among other things, the company agreed to provide at least 150 jobs and training for the Inuit people at their Raglan nickel mine.

The company originally thought that within 10 years Inuit could comprise 90% of the workforce. However, three years after the agreement was signed, and after spending $4 million on training, less than 25% of the workers are Inuit, and the percentage of Inuit employees at Raglan is not likely to rise in the next couple of years.

To date, the training has concentrated on preparing workers for jobs as cooks, heavy equipment operators, mechanics and truck drivers. With the construction phase over and production gearing up, there will not be many more jobs available to the Inuit until they train and qualify for jobs in the mill or underground.

"We should ... make greater efforts to ensure that we target the occupations with the greatest potential for employment," said Jim Delaruier, Kativik School Board's director of vocational and adult education.

And that's what the Raglan Technical Committee on employment and training has decided to do. At the heart of their new strategy is a college-level program in mining, as well as on-the-job training at the mine.
of economic development are likely to be positive or negative for communities. But the EA process for the BHP diamond mine failed to address if, when and how mining could contribute to community sustainability.

B. Wilkes. BC Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks. Consequences of unregulated release of raw acid mine drainage into the Bulkley River, British Columbia (Presented at the 11th Annual Mine Reclamation Symposium, Campbell River, BC. April 8-10th, 1987)

Notes from the Territories: arsenic poisoning (Alternatives, v.7(2), 1978, p. 12)
This article was written by Lloyd Tataryn, a journalist and environmental consultant to the National Indian Brotherhood. The article describes a number of studies on the impacts of arsenic poisoning. Tataryn then relates how the federal government released the results of a study that found many health defects among Yellowknife citizens commonly associated with arsenic poisoning, but the government failed to do an adequate follow-up study. Consequently, the aboriginal people in Yellowknife took it upon themselves to conduct a study of hair-arsenic levels in their children and mine workers compared to levels in children and mine workers living in Whitehorse, Yukon. The results showed that arsenic levels from the people in Yellowknife were above the “acceptable level,” while levels of the citizens of Whitehorse were negligible.

52% of the population deserves a closer look: a proposal for guidelines regarding the environmental and socio-economic impacts on women from the mining development at Voisey’s Bay
Produced by the Tongamiut Inuit Annait Ad Hoc Committee on Aboriginal Women and Mining in Labrador, this information comes from the Innu Nation’s website (located at http://www.innu.ca/womenguidelines.html).

Community Services
Many projects will result in an influx of workers, almost all male, into neighbouring communities. A large, transient population can put a strain on the existing recreational, health, social and business services.

Often services and infrastructure (such as more powerlines, sewage and housing) are developed to meet the needs of a larger population. At the end of the mine’s life, when the transient workers leave the community, it is the people left behind who end up shouldering the tax burden to pay for the infrastructure improvements.

Housing
The influx of workers can also result in housing shortages, inflated house prices and rents, and low vacancy rates. These factors make it difficult for single-parent households, women who are trying to leave abusive relationships, and low-income, unemployed and underemployed people to find houses to buy or rent.

And when a mine closes, inflated housing prices can plummet, especially in a single-industry town. With the recent announcement of the Quintette mine closure in northeastern BC, housing prices in the community of Tumbler Ridge fell, leaving many with no jobs and houses with little market value. (37)

Women’s Issues
The Tongamiut Inuit Annait Ad Hoc Committee on Aboriginal Women and Mining in Labrador has outlined a number of concerns related to potential impacts that mining developments may have on women.(46) Their concerns include possible disruption of marriage and family life, increased responsibilities for women in the home, violence against women, sexual harassment in the workplace, and lack of job opportunities.

These concerns are shared with Innu women leaders in Northern
Laborador who feel the mining activity in the area has costs for their families and the environment. (47)

A professor at Memorial University in Newfoundland has found that for married workers, long absences from home can contribute to marriage breakdowns and disrupt family life. (49)

If male partners are employed at mines that require them to be away from home for weeks or months at a time, the primary responsibility for managing the household (provision and preparation of food, subsistence harvesting, care of clothing, housework, maintenance of household accounts, childcare) will fall to women. This can potentially affect women’s participation in community life.

Miriam Wall, writing on the impact of resource developments on women in northern Ontario states, “Time is a premium for many women. As mothers they often cannot afford to be away over night or for long periods of time… working women, who find themselves overburdened with both their paid employment and traditional family role [can be] effectively excluded from further involvement in community.” (48)

Wall also writes that job opportunities may be limited for women in single-industry towns. The traditional employment options available to women tend to be in the low-paying, service sector. Moreover, there is a certain “mill mentality” that exists in single-industry towns,urban ideas, values and behaviour. The parents of non-Native children demanded a southern school curriculum and were instrumental in getting the school principal, who was sympathetic to the differing needs of the Indian children, dismissed. Thus, even aboriginal children were involved in the disempowerment.

The impact of roads, the stresses of village life, the dislocation of some family groups from their traditional lands, and the rapid transformation into a marginal minority changed the lives of the Ross River people forever. When these changes combined with the ready availability of alcohol, however, they produced a deadly combination. Public facilities for the purchase of alcohol became available in the region with the influx of mine construction workers. As all of the stresses to life in Ross River developed, extended periods of binge drinking became the rule. When people returned from a job with cash, alcohol would be bought and shared with friends and relatives until the money was spent.

Deaths from a variety of alcohol related causes, mostly accidents, began to occur in 1966. From that time to the present, death from alcohol-related causes has been a regular event for the Indian population, with one or more deaths from drinking almost every year between 1970 and 1989.

The community of Ross River is in the process of healing from the negative social effects that have so drastically changed their way of life in the past 30 years. In light of the indefinite closure of the Faro mine in 1998, the people of Ross River must now try to develop strategies for building a more healthy, sustainable community.

---

**Case Study: Ross River, Yukon Territory**

The following case study is composed of information from the report “Just Like People Get Lost: A retrospective assessment of the impacts of the Faro Mining Development on the land use of the Ross River Indian People.” (31) In the report, the author makes it clear that while there were impacts directly attributable to the mining development, there were concurrent factors, such as changes in education, transportation, employment, and social welfare programs, that contributed to the severe social problems experienced by the aboriginal community of Ross River.

Beginning in 1966, there was an influx of outsiders and businesses to Ross River to service the construction and operation of the Faro mine. In the ensuing years the Ross River band experienced increased “drinking, open conflicts, violence, sexual exploitation . . . the disintegration of some marriages.”

The internal problems among the aboriginal people were exacerbated by the arrival of large numbers of transient white male labourers during the construction period. Many of the mine construction workers carried the stereotypic images of “Indians as victims and powerless,” that were prevalent in Yukon and many other areas of Canada at that time. Women were seen as sexual objects and the men, when drunk, were seen as objects for abuse and violence. Raids by construction workers to abduct women from the village were not uncommon. There have been vivid descriptions of sexual exploitation and beatings of Natives by whites at the local bar.

Many of the southerners who settled in the village brought...
and sentiments such as “you have to be a real man to be able to work in the mill or mine” are not uncommon. This attitude can act as a real force in preventing women from even considering applying for what are often the only well-paying jobs available in the community.(48)

The need for gender-specific research has been acknowledged in recent federal environmental assessment processes. However, the last federal assessment process that women in Labrador participated in failed to adequately assess the impact of military flight training on women in the region. According to women’s groups, the environmental impact statement either omitted or failed to directly acknowledge women’s issues. For example, “sexual assault” was portrayed as “family or community violence,” sexually transmitted diseases became “communicable diseases” and “women’s groups” became “concerned groups.” (46)

In September 2000, a two-day gathering was held at Lake Laberge, Yukon, called Gaining Ground: Women, Mining and the Environment. It brought together women from Yukon communities affected by mining, as well as women with experience in the health, science and social science sectors. (50)

Land Claims

There are six proposed mining developments valued at more than $30 billion in the Arctic, all of which infringe on unsettled Native land claims. Also, in addition to the Innu Nation, the Labrador Inuit Association has outstanding land claims affected by the nickel find at Voisey’s Bay.(51)

Unsettled land claims can be a source of concern for aboriginal communities faced with a proposed mineral development.

In the Northwest Territories, the federal government has awarded mineral exploration rights to land over which the Dene assert aboriginal rights and title. “Without self-government agreements, the Dene are not entitled to any revenues from mining activities, even if the mine is literally in their backyard,” says Marina Devine, chair of the Canadian Arctic Resources Committee.(51)

Case Study: The Dene Nation

During the course of the Norman Wells Pipeline and Oilfield Expansion Project in the NWT, people of the Dene Nation feared the bulk of benefits would flow to the south with the oil, and that northerners would be left to deal with the negative impacts.

The Dene repeatedly stressed that job skills training alone would not guarantee meaningful Native involvement in development. They called for training that would enable them to enhance their traditional renewable economy and protect their cultural and social life, to ensure that once the project was over they would still have families and viable communities to live in.

Despite problems with government funding, a Community Development Programme was eventually set up. This allowed the Dene to develop special projects on issues such as alcohol and drug abuse, housing, economic development, community learning and decision making, Elders and youth, and health and education. Training was also undertaken in areas of monitoring techniques, leadership, communications and other types of professional development.

The programme was discontinued after only two years due to lack of federal funding. What the programme taught the Dene was that the regimes of an industrialized economy are foreign to them, and do not reflect their values and traditions. The realized that their cultural heritage is the only key to healthy social development and that this process must be in place if the Dene are to design and benefit properly from economic and political development.(52)
The Taku River Tlingit First Nation is presently deep into land-claim negotiations. However, some band members are concerned that a road proposed by Redcorp Ventures Ltd. to service the Tulesequah Chief mine will soon attract more mines to the area. More mines mean a greater potential for impacts on traditional Tlingit lands. Tlingit spokesperson Melvin Jack is concerned that, “Industry seems to be going in under the wire before our claims are settled. I feel, to some degree, that it is interfering with aboriginal rights.”

Addressing Community Impacts

Often there is not enough lead time given to communities to put programmes in place to deal with the negative social ramifications of mining developments.

Like the Dene (see accompanying Case Study), the Innu Nation of northern Labrador has expressed a great deal of uncertainty over the future of their communities and their way of life. The Innu are worried about the implications of additional social and economic pressures wrought by mining developments on communities that are just beginning to heal after years of abuse and despair. The frantic pace of exploration and development has not provided Innu communities with enough time to adapt organically to this new reality.

The majority of problems experienced by the Dene with the Norman Wells Project stemmed from their inability to change existing processes or to have any meaningful input into decisions related to the project.

The Innu have had a similar experience, and are frustrated by refusals on the part of the companies involved – from Archean to Inco – to deal fairly with their concerns. The Innu have stated that the timelines and goals of the companies and the Newfoundland government do not take into account the need of the Innu to determine their own visions of an economic future for their communities – a vision that may not include the development of Voisey’s Bay.

Too often, the benefits of a mine are shipped out of a community along with the ore
Health and Safety

Articles with underline titles are available as reprints from MiningWatch Canada.

Panel makes connection between hardrock mining and cancer (Canadian Occupational Safety, v.32(4), 1994, p. 8)
This article mentions how one or two studies linking hardrock mining and lung cancer might have failed to convince panel members of the relationship; but it was hard to argue with 22 studies.
The studies found that: 1) the longer the exposure the greater the incidence of lung cancer, so it is older workers who are most often victims from their lifetime work experience in mining; 2) miners who had a mixed-ore experience had higher rates of cancer; and 3) rates of lung cancer among non-smoking uranium miners were similar to the rates of smokers in the general population. But rates increased significantly when mining was combined with smoking.

Study evaluates cancer risks for nickel miners (Northern Miner, v.81(46), 01/14/96, p. 5)
A McMaster University study has shown that certain workers in Ontario’s nickel mines and mills are more likely to contract various respiratory-tract cancer than the rest of the population. The study examined health records for 66,100 workers employed by Inco and Falconbridge since 1940.
The United Steelworkers of Canada union has stated that oil mist and diesel fumes are to blame for the high incidence of cancer, and not the rock dust known to cause silicosis in the past. The union has called for a reduction in the level of harmful emissions emanating from the products of equipment manufacturers, and has urged Inco and Falconbridge to switch to electrical equipment from diesel.

Mystery ailments fell Fording coal miners (Vancouver Sun, 05/18/95, B5)
BC government officials and Fording management were unable to explain the cause of the array of ailments occurring at the coal mine. United Steelworkers of America representative, Don Takala, urged the company to shut down the

Health and Safety

“The mining industry has long had an unenviable history of industrial accidents and disease.” (49)

When an underground explosion ripped through Westray Mine in Nova Scotia on May 9, 1992, killing the entire shift of 26 men, it was a devastating reminder to Canadians that the human toll taken by mining is not limited to the industry’s bloody past.

Behind the tragedy of underground accidents is a range of less visible health and safety issues, such as the gradual build-up of carcinogens (cancer-causing agents), and air and water contamination. The impacts felt by miners and mine communities are indicative of an industry that has taken some important steps forward, but in many ways has yet to face the challenge of putting health and safety first.

Health Impacts: the Silent Toll Down in the Mine

A range of health problems can be attributed to working in a mine environment.

Cancer
Cancer is serious health risk for the men and women who work in mines. In the late 1980s, the Canadian Industrial Disease Standards Panel concluded that hardrock miners are more likely to get lung cancer than the rest of the population. They based their conclusions on 22 studies conducted world-wide, all of which found that the incidence of lung cancer in hardrock mining was above the norm.

Health Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Some Toxic Gases and Solid Particles in Diesel Exhaust</th>
<th>Health Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>Interferes with oxygen supply to body; contributes to heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides or NOx</td>
<td>Irritates the eyes and respiratory system; decreases lung function; may decrease resistance to infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur oxides</td>
<td>Irritates the eyes and respiratory system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocarbons (including benzene, formaldehyde, phenol, butadiene, etc.)</td>
<td>Cause a large variety of health effects, including irritation, asthma and cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)</td>
<td>Cause cell mutations and cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) or soot</td>
<td>Contributes to heart and respiratory problems; causes cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diesel Exhaust

Exposure to exhaust from diesel combustion is one of the major health risks underground. This summary is from the United Steelworkers website: http://www.uswa.ca
Cancer increases the longer a person works at a mine, if a person has worked in more than one mine (i.e., where different ores are mined), and if the worker smokes.(53,54)

These findings have ramifications for the people who work in the industry. Many workers will find themselves working at a number of different mines over their lifetime, since many mines are only in operation for a few years. As a result, these workers will be exposed to many different potential carcinogens.

Known carcinogens encountered at a mine site include: radiation, arsenic, and heavy metals such as lead, mercury, and cadmium. According to Kevin O'Reilly, an environmental activist, “the technology exists to control its emissions. The article points out that Environment Canada's main taskforce was set up in 1995 to deal with the arsenic emissions from Royal Oak's Giant Mine, to help the company control its emissions. The article points out that Environment Canada’s main legislation to deal with arsenic is the Canadian Environmental Protection Act.

Case Study: Arsenic Poisoning

In the 1970s, elevated levels of arsenic in snow, soil, water and vegetation samples within city limits confirmed that not only mineworkers but also the residents of Yellowknife were being exposed to the potentially lethal chemical. Exposure resulted primarily from the smelter emissions from Giant Yellowknife Gold Mines Limited. In May of 1975, Health and Welfare Canada released a study showing that many health defects among Yellowknife citizens were commonly associated with arsenic exposure. At the time, Statistics Canada figures also indicated that Yellowknife had a higher cancer rate than Canada as a whole.

Spurred by these findings, the National Indian Brotherhood and the United Steel Workers of America collected hair samples from aboriginal children living near Yellowknife, and from all the men working in the Giant Mine’s mill. These samples were analyzed and compared to samples of hair collected from children and mine workers in Whitehorse (which has no arsenic source). None of the children or mine workers from Whitehorse had hair arsenic levels above one part per million (ppm), whereas more than 90% of aboriginal children from Yellowknife and all of the mill workers from the Giant Mine had arsenic levels above one ppm. One ppm is the upper “acceptable” limit for hair arsenic – above this, toxicity soon develops.

Understandably, the aboriginal community was upset with these results. At the time, Lloyd Tataryn, consultant on environmental issues to the National Indian Brotherhood commented that, “The Indians in the Northwest Territories have not been the primary beneficiaries of the arsenic-contaminating industries located in Yellowknife. We feel it is unjust that companies can make profits from jeopardizing the health of the people who have lived on the land since time immemorial. We therefore cannot be content until the arsenic levels in the Indians of Yellowknife are no higher than those in the Indians in Whitehorse. The only way this can be accomplished is by forcing the companies to stop their polluting activities.”(45)

More than twenty years have passed since the Health and Welfare Canada study, yet the arsenic poisoning continues.(58)

In 1996, a forum on arsenic was held in Yellowknife. During the forum, Dr. Andre Corriveau, chief medical officer for the NWT said exposure to large amounts of airborne arsenic can be dangerous, deteriorating the lungs and causing problems for people, particularly those with respiratory problems.

However, there are no regulations in the NWT to control the release of arsenic into the air. Royal Oak, the company that owns the Giant mine, releases between 10 tonnes and 11 tonnes of arsenic into the atmosphere each year on average.

According to Kevin O'Reilly, an environmental activist, “the technology exists to eliminate the air emissions . . . we should be aiming for zero discharge.”

The “way” to limit pollution is known; all that is required is the “will” to clean up the operation. This dangerous pollution will continue until the company voluntarily introduces controls, or the government enacts legislation requiring companies to curb their emissions.
Years of working dangerously: at least 33 have died in Canada’s mines since Westray (Halifax Chronicle Herald, 05/05/97, A1, A4)

This article provides a list of mining-related deaths, and their causes, for the years 1992 to 1996.

Mining still this country’s most dangerous job (Halifax Chronicle Herald, 05/05/97, A2)

Statistics Canada rates mining and quarrying as the most dangerous occupations. Between 1988 and 1993, 5% of all workers killed on the job or by work-related illnesses (268 deaths) in Canada were miners/quarry workers. Rates of workplace injury and death are increasing in the mining industry, while they are decreasing in other occupations.

Curragh Inc. fined $20,000 for safety offences (Globe & Mail, 12/05/92, B12)

Curragh Inc. was fined $20,000 for two safety offences at its lead-zinc mine in Faro, Yukon. They were fined $15,000 for failing to ensure a front-end loader was equipped with parking brake, and $5,000 for failing to ensure that oxygen was available in its first-aid vehicle.

Explosives stored in wrong places, inspectors find (Vancouver Sun, 10/16/92, B13)

Safety inspectors who went through the Giant gold mine in Yellowknife (where an explosion had recently killed nine men) found explosives where they shouldn’t have been. Blasting caps, stick powder and bags of explosives were found in more than 20 improper locations at the mine owned by Royal Oak Mines.

Placer Dome fined $325,000 (Victoria Times Colonist, 05/09/99, C11)

Placer Dome was fined $325,000 after pleading guilty to a health and safety violation in the death of a Northern Ontario miner.

A Labour Ministry investigation found that Placer Dome failed to establish procedures to govern the movement of a scoop tram, contrary to mining regulations under the Occupational Health and Safety Act.

Miners often work 12-hour shifts, 6 days a week, 6 months of the year. This can result in physical ailments such as oil mist and diesel fumes from mining equipment. In addition to physical ailments related to mining, there are also issues of mental health. A report written in 1988 documents the impact of fly-in mining (i.e., where workers are flown into remote areas to work and live for specified lengths of time) on a miner’s physical and mental health.

The federal government has recently announced it will study the effects that years of uranium mining has had on the village of Deline in the Northwest Territories. The Eldorado mine operated in the 1930s and 1940s during which time “Dene men were recruited to transport the ore, carrying 45-kg sacks of it on their backs. They slept and sat on those sacks during the long barge trips across the lake and down the Bear and Mackenzie rivers. They spent much of their time covered with fine uranium ore dust.” There have been many deaths from cancer in the community, which is known locally as the “village of widows.”

Smelters

Uranium has been coined “death rock” by the Dene, and the land around uranium mines has been termed the “sacrifice area,” which expresses the idea that the impacted zone remains unsuitable for human habitation for thousands of years. The costs to Native communities living in the vicinity of uranium mines have been high: the animals they depend on for survival have been adversely affected, as has their drinking water, and residents have reported new and unusual health problems.

Mental Health

In addition to physical ailments related to mining, there are also issues of mental health. A report written in 1988 documents the impact of fly-in mining (i.e., where workers are flown into remote areas to work and live for specified lengths of time) on a miner’s physical and mental health. The author concluded that the separation from family and friends and the inability to get away from the work site combine to create stress that can be hazardous to a miner’s mental and physical health.

Beyond the Mine Gate: Community Health Impacts

Mining operations can potentially impact the health of nearby community members in a number of ways. Noise pollution can be disruptive, chemical spills can taint water and food supplies, and air emissions can pollute water, soil and vegetation.

Uranium

Uranium has been coined “death rock” by the Dene, and the land around uranium mines has been termed the “sacrifice area,” which expresses the idea that the impacted zone remains unsuitable for human habitation for thousands of years. The costs to Native communities living in the vicinity of uranium mines have been high: the animals they depend on for survival have been adversely affected, as has their drinking water, and residents have reported new and unusual health problems.

The federal government has recently announced it will study the effects that years of uranium mining has had on the village of Deline in the Northwest Territories. The Eldorado mine operated in the 1930s and 1940s during which time “Dene men were recruited to transport the ore, carrying 45-kg sacks of it on their backs. They slept and sat on those sacks during the long barge trips across the lake and down the Bear and Mackenzie rivers. They spent much of their time covered with fine uranium ore dust.” There have been many deaths from cancer in the community, which is known locally as the “village of widows.”

Smelters

Risks to health increase when smelters are part of the mining development. Residents of Anaconda
Mining In Remote Areas: Issues and Impacts

Montana, where arsenic is emitted from a copper smelter, have a higher incidence of death from lung cancer than the general US population. (45)

Safety: Mining Still a Dangerous Occupation

Two recent headlines from the Halifax Chronicle Herald capture the reality of the risks involved in the mining industry:

“Years of working dangerously: at least 33 have died in Canada’s mines since Westray” (59)

“Mining still this country’s most dangerous job” (60)

Mining is a dangerous occupation. Accidents and deaths occur for a variety of reasons including flaws in mine design, smaller workforces and more overtime work, and lack of adherence to safety regulations. (61-65) Some members of the mining industry blame the increase in accidents and fatalities on “improper motivation” on the part of the employees. (66) The facts suggest that this is not the case. Between 1992 and 1997, explosions, cave-ins, heavy machinery and noxious gases claimed the lives of 50 miners in Canada, 27 of them coal miners and the rest hardrock miners. (60)

Deaths underground have ripple effects throughout a small community. This is particularly true when many miners die in a single calamity, as at Westray. (59)

Many mines are being proposed for remote northern regions of Canada, where permafrost conditions prevail. Building on permafrost requires advanced engineering skills and knowledge, and if mine infrastructure is improperly designed or constructed accidents can occur. An incident in the Yukon highlights the potential impacts that can be expected from permafrost melt. An explosion that injured 11 workers was attributed to ground movement caused by changing temperatures that ruptured propane pipes. (67)

There are particular problems at remote mine sites where employees are flown in and live at the mine for specified periods of time. As one study points out, fly-in workers often suffer stress and tension as a result of separation from family and community. Coupled with overtime hours on the job, this stress may contribute to an increase in workplace accidents. (49)

There is a need to address these issues because of the likelihood of more “urban miners,” as southern ore bodies are depleted and companies develop more mines in remote areas. Also, there are many mines

Health and Safety

64 Kinross Gold fined $500,000 following two fatal accidents

(Occupational Health & Safety, v. 16 (4), June/2000, p.13,14)

Two fatal incidents within six months at Hoyle Pond mine near Timmins have resulted in fines totaling $500,000 against the company.

Kinross Gold Corporation pleaded guilty to two counts under the Occupational Health and Safety Act. The company failed to take the reasonable precaution of ensuring that standardized signals were used between a worker and a train operator; and failed to establish safety procedures for employees working near a hole that was blocked with planks and loose rock.

65 Flin Flon: death in a company town

(Our Times, v. 17(1), Jan/Feb 1998, pg 31-33+)

While industrial accidents are by no means uncommon in Canada, Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Company in Flin Flon, Manitoba, has more than average. From 1991 to 1996, there were 14 fatalities in Manitoba’s mining industry – 10 of which happened at HBM&S. This compared with three at Inco’s operation, which employs a similar number of people in Manitoba. In fact, over the past 70 years, 98 HBM&S workers have died violently on the job: about one every nine months.

66 Improper motivation main cause of accidents

(Northern Miner, v. 79(14), 06/07/93, p. 14)

During the 1980s, Ontario averaged 11 mining-related deaths per year, most of which occurred underground. In 1993, 2,800 accidents were reported to the Ontario Workers’ Compensation Board. The industry cited “improperly motivated” employees as the basic cause for most accidents.

At Falconbridge’s Kidd Creek mine in Timmins, the safety record is particularly good. According to the mine manager, their safety record is, in part, the result of suggestions from employees. This stellar record may be at risk, however, with the elimination of 250 jobs, which raises concerns about worker safety.
that are only projected to operate for a few years, which discourages the creation of new mining towns and encourages the trend toward flying in workers.

**Training**

Following the deaths of five miners in separate accidents in Quebec, Coroner Gilles Perron concluded that the province’s miners need better training.(68) Perron said work methods are a factor in 90 percent of accidents underground.

The miners’ union said the coroner’s report underlines the lack of concern by mining companies for employees. “Clear signals of danger were ignored by the supervisors so as not to reduce production,” said Arnold Dugas, director of the United Steelworkers of America.

At an inquest into an accident that killed two miners at the Quinsam coal mine on Vancouver Island, miner Michael Pearo who survived the accident called for more training for those working underground.

“They should be well-trained,” he said. “... It should be under provincial law.” (69)

**Deregulation**

If employers do not always have worker safety foremost in mind, at least government safety inspectors have the ability to halt operations that pose a potential danger to workers. Or at least that used to be the case.

In a disturbing trend, governments across the country are cutting back on occupational health and safety enforcement. In Alberta, more than half of the health and safety inspectors were laid off, leaving employers to essentially inspect and police themselves. Similarly, in Ontario, the Ministry of Labour has downsized to the point where inspectors can’t afford to visit remote mine sites.(60)

This trend has labour activists in Canada very concerned.

“Deregulation kills people. It’s as simple as that,” said Andy King, national health and safety coordinator for the United Steelworkers of America.(60)

“Business people are gamblers,” says Vern Edwards, a safety official with the Ontario Federation of Labour. “They’ll cut corners on health and safety for the sake of production and profits and just cross their fingers nothing happens. Unfortunately, they’re not the ones who pay the price of losing that gamble.”(60)

**Conclusions: A Long Road Ahead**

To a certain extent, the health and safety effects suffered by miners and mine and smelting communities are inherent to the industry and the mine environment. Yet many of the worst impacts could be mitigated or prevented with appropriate workplace investments.

The long and often bloody history of mining has produced some strong and progressive labour unions. Mine workers and their families are among the first to feel the impact of poorly designed mines. Over the years, labour activism has been the most important force in improving the safety of the mine environment.

However, the shocking allegations of company and government negligence that came out in the stray inquiry underscore the distance the mining industry still has to come.

The continued failure of companies like Royal Oak at their Giant Mine to address the health impacts of their operations speaks of an industry that is in too many cases still focused on profit at the expense of workers and communities.
This package has so far dealt with the impacts associated with mining developments. However, there are opportunities for identifying potential impacts before mines are developed.

During the exploration stage, permits to dig, divert water, and so on, must be obtained. At the mineral development stage, however, all major mining projects in Canada have to go through an environmental assessment (EA) process to determine if and how development should proceed.

Since Berger, there hasn’t been a single assessment conducted in Canada that has met the standards of the Berger Inquiry. Presently in Canada, all provinces and the federal government have EA legislation. North of 60°, the federal process generally applies; however, the EA regime in the territories continues to evolve through the ongoing settlement of land claims and self-government agreements. For all other regions, the responsibility for regulating and assessing mining operations rests with provincial governments. Occasionally, mining projects in the provinces also require federal approval (for example, when mine proposals include the destruction or alteration of fish habitat). When this is the case, the two EA processes are usually merged or “harmonized,” to save time and costs for all parties involved.

Environmental Assessment

Background: the Origins Of EA

Environmental assessment in Canada was created to ensure that environmental concerns are adequately considered in decision making. Unfortunately, the current state of EA processes in Canada has been the subject of substantial criticism and increasing cynicism from many stakeholders.

Twenty years ago, the Berger Inquiry set the standard for EAs in Canada. Justice Thomas Berger established that: “environment” must include human social and cultural concerns; all those affected have a right to a fair hearing and to have their concerns incorporated into the decision making process; and traditional knowledge should be combined with Western science-based information to provide the best possible information base for decision making. He also made it clear that none of this could be accomplished without adequate time for a thorough review.

Since Berger, there hasn’t been a single assessment conducted in Canada that has met the standards of the Berger Inquiry. Presently in Canada, all provinces and the federal government have EA legislation. North of 60°, the federal process generally applies; however, the EA regime in the territories continues to evolve through the ongoing settlement of land claims and self-government agreements.

For all other regions, the responsibility for regulating and assessing mining operations rests with provincial governments. Occasionally, mining projects in the provinces also require federal approval (for example, when mine proposals include the destruction or alteration of fish habitat). When this is the case, the two EA processes are usually merged or “harmonized,” to save time and costs for all parties involved.

Articles with underlined titles are available as reprints from Mining-Watch Canada.


Marbek Resources Consultants. 1998. Guide to information requirements for the environmental assessment of mining projects in Canada (prepared for Environment Canada, the Canandian Environmental Assessment Agency, and Natural Resources Canada)

This report documents the legislative requirements for EA of mining projects in Canada. It also outlines the information that companies must produce to meet the requirements of the EA process.

Conservation group launches lawsuits challenging Westmin mining in Yukon (Vancouver Sun, 05/26/94, C10)

The Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (CPAWS) has initiated two lawsuits aimed at the federal government and Westmin Resources, which is exploring its mineral claim near the Bonnet Plume River in the Yukon. CPAWS says the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs allows mining companies to log,
Benefits of EA

In theory, environmental assessment focuses on predicting and assessing the ecological, social and related consequences of proposed developments, and on identifying ways to mitigate negative effects and optimize benefits. If properly conducted, EA processes should reveal if and how proposed projects can be implemented without unacceptable impacts.

For mining companies, a well coordinated EA of a proposed mining project can contribute significantly to effective planning. By identifying potential problems with, for example, mine design and waste handling plans, and by bringing to light the full range of potential impacts and alternative ways of carrying out the project before the mine is developed, EAs can help to reduce costs and unscheduled project delays and minimize future economic and environmental liabilities.(71)

Criticisms of EA

There are many criticisms regarding how the EA process is applied to mining in Canada today. These include lack of assessment at the exploration stage, insufficient opportunity for concerned citizens to participate in the process, lack of adequate scrutiny of projects, inadequate time given to the reviews, and conflict of interest issues that arise when the government is both financier and regulator of a project.

EA lacking for exploration phase

As outlined in the section on Environmental Impacts, there are many potential impacts related to the exploration phase. Yet in many jurisdictions there is no process to evaluate these impacts, especially the cumulative impacts of exploration rushes, prior to exploration. In the Yukon, several lawsuits have been launched against the federal government for failing to assess the impacts of exploration activities on the environment.(72,73)

Inadequate opportunity for citizen participation

In Labrador, there are few examples of consultation between government departments on assessing the potential impacts of exploration activities.(5) What little consultation that does exist is often hampered by the absence of critical baseline data on wildlife populations and distributions, key habitat areas and archaeological sites. According to the Innu Nation, even those rare instances where baseline data exist, there is a serious deficit in the basic ecological and cultural research required to interpret the data correctly in order to make informed decisions.(5)

As part of the environmental review of BHP’s Ekati diamond mine in the NWT, hearings were held in communities likely to be affected by the mine.

Kevin O’Reilly, staff member with the Canadian Arctic Resources Committee (CARC), has commented that the BHP review was neither rigorous nor procedurally fair. Communities were not adequately included, in part because the EA panel was operating with very limited time and financial resources.(74)

Prior to the hearings, people were given several months to prepare. However, there were many barriers to participating in this process. The company’s environmental impact statement (EIS), which was almost 1,000 pages long, became available at a time when many people were out of communities and busy with their traditional harvesting activities. Funding was limited and did not allow for adequate preparation of
detailed arguments and positions. For example, because the EIS was written in a very technical language and was only available in English, preparatory discussions and workshops were necessary in communities where English was not a first language in order to prepare responses for the hearings. No resources or time had been allocated for these purposes.(43)

Only $254,000 was paid to intervenors during the BHP review. “We have a limited budget,” said Michel Dorais, then head of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, which administers the Federal EA Act, and distributes intervenor funding. (76) The federal agency could not find sufficient funding to evaluate the potential impacts of the project on communities, yet the federal government expects to receive an estimated $2.5 billion in royalties and taxes from the Ekati project.(43)

The Canadian Arctic Resources Committee has noted that other environmental reviews, some of much less technical complexity, have received more intervenor funding than the BHP review. The Pearson International Airport Expansion panel received just over $1 million; close to $4 million in funding was allocated for the Great Whale project; and the Northern Diseased Bison review—scarcely the revenue-generating project that the BHP project is—was given more than $500,000.(76)

**Lack of scientific and technical scrutiny**

EA reviews have been criticized as being less than rigorous in their scrutiny of mining projects. In 1996, Royal Oak’s Kemess mine in northern BC received both provincial and federal approval after going through an environmental review process, which the BC environment minister at the time, Moe Sihota, called a “sound and thorough environmental assessment.” Yet, only a couple months after the project was approved, the company revealed that the soils at the location of the planned mill site were unstable.

“It suggests that somebody did not do their homework,” said Rosemary Fox of the Sierra Club. “It shatters one’s confidence in the integrity of the review process.”

The company wanted to move the mill to a location that was specifically rejected during the EA process. Fox said this proposal made “a mockery of both the provincial and federal environmental processes.”(75)

Critics of the BHP federal environmental review have called it “a shoddy and hurried assessment.”(76)

The head of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency acknowledged that the federal EA process has become more hurried...
in recent years. “These things were not a problem a few years ago, when we had two major projects to assess a year and no budget problems. . . but now we have six major panels a year and a limited budget.”(76)

Bill Fuller, a retired zoologist from the University of Alberta, appeared in front of the EA panel for the BHP project. He told the panel that more analysis was needed on caribou, as well as on the eating and

Environmental Assessment

Case Study: Tulsequah Chief

Major controversies erupted following the approval of Redfern Resources’ (now Redcorp Ventures Inc.’s) Tulsequah Chief mine proposal to reopen an old Cominco mine and build a 160-km road into the Taku, a roadless wilderness area in northwestern BC.

Concerns with the proposal and assessment process came from a number of camps: the Taku River Tlingit First Nation (TRTFN) expressed concerns about how the mine would impact the future of their land, their people and the outcome of treaty negotiations underway as the mine was proposed; the Alaskan government raised fears that the mine and subsequent developments would damage their lucrative salmon fishery; and a range of BC and Alaskan environmental groups argued that the mine and its 160 km. access road would disrupt grizzly bear and caribou habitat, impact key salmon habitat and lead to the long-term degradation of the previously unroaded valley area through exposure to logging activities and other mineral exploration and development.(17)

Janet Kowalski, director of habitat for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, said that BC’s environmental review failed to answer key questions, including what impact the project will have on the millions of sockeye, coho and chinook salmon in the Taku River. The proposed mine is located on the Tulsequah River, a major tributary of the Taku River. The Alaskans are concerned about “inadequate tailings disposal” in the historical flood plain of a major salmon river, in an area prone to earthquakes and floods. Kowalski said her state is pro-mining but would never allow such a project. (17,19,22,23)

Don Weir of the Taku Wilderness Association said he understands that you have to share the wilderness, but proper environmental reviews are fundamental to making decisions. He says he lost his faith in environmental reviews, worked to re-establish a Native fishery in the upper Taku watershed. He says he lost his faith in environmental reviews, and in the ability of both British Columbia and the federal government to protect the land that is the center of his life and livelihood. Weir argues that it is local people, such as Brian Jack and the other fishermen and fisherwomen who depend on the Taku, who will pay the price for inadequate assessments like this. (17)

As a result of their concerns, the Taku River Tlingit First Nation (TRTFN) filed a legal challenge against the BC government asking that the mine approval be quashed due to procedural irregularities and lack of adequate data or consultation to resolve the outstanding threat to the Tlingit people and the ecological values of the Taku Valley.

In June 2000, the Supreme Court of BC agreed with the Tlingits and quashed the decision of the Ministers to issue the Project Approval Certificate to Redfern Resources. They required the Project Committee to reconvene to address outstanding issues, and to submit any new recommendations report to the Ministers. The Court concluded that in this case “it is clear that the Ministers’ reasons demonstrate that the statutory obligation to promote sustainability, an object of the EAA, was not fully addressed. They noted errors in procedure, substance and the constitutionality of the BC mine approval decision. (79)

In 1998, the Auditor-General’s Office found serious deficiencies in the federal EA process and noted that Ottawa often fails to ensure that companies follow up on their promises to mitigate environmental damage. (83)

Further criticism has come from the House of Commons environment committee, which has condemned Ottawa for not enforcing crucial laws, especially in remote areas like the Pacific Northwest.(84)
migratory habits of other northern animals such as fox and grizzly bears.

“They’re spending billions on this,” said Fuller. “Why can’t you wait a year or two? Then we’ll know better what sort of restrictions need to be put on.”(3)

David Schindler, a professor at the University of Alberta, also appeared in front of the panel as a technical expert. He was shocked by the speed with which the environmental hearings were held, given the amount of data that had to be reviewed. “I thought they would have wanted me for half a day, not fifteen minutes.”(3)

An environmental review, by pursuing standards of comprehensiveness, rigour and fairness, is intended to give the government impartial advice on whether a project should proceed, and if so, under what conditions.

Mining projects are complex and technical by nature. It follows, therefore, that EA panels should take time to examine technical issues in order to fully understand the implications of the project before making recommendations on whether or not it should be developed.

This was not the case with the BHP environmental review. An article written by CARC documents the panel’s failure to address technical issues.(74) The article relates that during the course of the BHP hearings the panel chair stated, “I would also like to stress that this is not a technical review per se.” Furthermore, the panel did not retain legal or technical experts to help it frame its own interests, raise questions, or assist others to explore technical issues. It is possible that the panel expected government agencies to come forward with sound technical analyses of the proposal. Some did, notably the NWT Department of Renewable Resources. Others, however, did little to advance the technical investigation. Some departments were absent from the proceedings, hardly in keeping with the panel’s request for information from all relevant and involved agencies.

As CARC asked, “If the BHP review was not intended to examine technical aspects of the project, under whose auspices and when will such a review take place?”(74)

In addition to a lack of consideration of technical issues, the cumulative impacts of mining proposals are often not given adequate consideration during environmental assessments and reviews.

The Ekati diamond mine in the NWT became a major focus of attention for northern interest groups and First Nations because it is likely to...
<p>Environmental Assessment</p>

study (Tabled in the House May 25, 1998)

Based on the evidence before it, the Committee concluded that Canadians are not getting the high level of environmental protection that they deserve. A number of problems with enforcement were raised by the Committee.

One major impediment concerned the lack of both human and financial resources required to meet the challenges of an ever-increasing workload.

Under the federal government’s program review, Environment Canada has had to reduce its overall budget by about 40%. An example of the effects of the Green Plan’s expiry was provided by Peter Krahn, Head of the Inspections Division for the Pacific and Yukon Region. He said that on April 1, 1998, his region’s inspection budget will drop by 30%. With respect to the ability to inspect, Krahn expects that inspections will drop from 550 down to about 385, while investigations in progress will drop from 25 down to about 16.

Web address for the report: http://www.parl.gc.ca/InfoComDoc/ENSU/Studies/Reports/ensurp03-e.htm

85 The Great Giveaway: a flawed process led the province’s approval of northern BC mine (Nanaimo Times, 01/16/96)

The Cheslatta Carrier Nation has petitioned the BC Supreme Court to set aside the province’s decision to approve the Huckleberry copper mine in northwestern BC. The petition, filed by Sierra Legal Defence Fund on behalf of the Cheslatta, claims that the provincial government failed to abide by the requirements of the Environmental Assessment Act by accepting the mining company’s incomplete project report and approving the project.

be the first of many diamond mines. As well, the potential infrastructure required to service these mines (e.g., roads, powerlines) has a high likelihood of attracting other industrial developments previously considered uneconomical. In short, development of BHP’s Ekati mine could lead to a transformation of the physical and economic face of the Far North.

“Even when an environmental screening is done there are serious flaws in the process,” says Stewart Elgie, lawyer with the Sierra Legal Defence Fund. “First, government regulators don’t have the baseline data to make environmental predictions because the science required to determine how watersheds will be affected – or how grizzly bears, wolves and other animals are going to respond to the activity – has not been done. Secondly, the governments are basing their decision on the impact of one project, not on the cumulative impacts of all that is going on in the diamond mining territory. In essence, the whole in this case is far bigger than the sum of its parts.”(77)

The Canadian Arctic Resources Committee echoes Elgie’s comments. The committee has written that, “What should have been a comprehensive regional assessment now stands as a very limited analysis of a single project, with reference neither to the potential for greater development nor to long-term cumulative effects.”(43)

Virtually everyone involved in the BHP public hearings expected the EA panel to recommend clear, detailed terms and conditions to minimize environmental costs and maximize economic benefits to aboriginal peoples and other northerners. However, the failure of the EA panel to provide adequate scrutiny of the potential and cumulative impacts of the project resulted in recommendations that were perceived as being too general to be very helpful.(78)

The need for more comprehensive and accurate scientific input on cumulative impacts and environmental risks has been recognized at the judicial level. There have been two successful legal challenges brought by the public against recent mining environmental assessments. The courts have quashed approvals at the Tulsequah mine in northwestern British Columbia and the Cheviot mine near Jasper, Alberta. (79, 80)

Conflict of interest issues

In addition to budgetary issues reducing the scope and amount of participation in the assessment process, there are other pressures that may be contributing to the fast-tracking of proposals through the EA stage.

The rush for the promise of jobs and tax revenues can and has created conflicts for governments who have the responsibility of regulating the environmental impact of mining projects.

In some cases, the government may also have a direct stake in a proposed mine.

The province of BC was both an investor and regulator of Princeton Mining Corp.’s Huckleberry Mine project in northwestern BC.(81) In December 1996, the BC government approved the copper mine project, even though the company had not “submitted cost projections for long-term monitoring and maintenance of the facility after closure . . . conducted fish tissue sampling to determine background levels of mercury and other metals . . . provided modeling of long-term pit water quality . . . or assessed the impact on humans of mine discharge.”(85) All of this information was required by the project report specifications outlined by the provincial government. Just a few
months later, the company received a $15 million infrastructure loan to complete their project.(85)

Conclusions: the Future of EA

As Susan Wismer writes, “Experience to date with the BHP review raises serious questions about the state of environmental assessment in Canada. As a regulatory and planning mechanism designed to ensure fair, effective and efficient decision making, it does not seem to be working.”(43)

David Schindler, internationally renowned scientist and professor at the University of Alberta, participated in the BHP process. Schindler says that he believes it is time for the federal review process to be given the means and legal power to conduct its business. “It’s really disgraceful the way it operates now,” he says. “This kind of thing is a throwback to the Dark Ages, and I can’t help but think that as a country, we’ll some day regret that there was so much hurry to give so much away to the multinationals when there was plenty of time to think this thing through. After all, the diamonds aren’t going to go away.”(77)

In the 1970s, many people saw the Berger Inquiry as the beginning of an era in which environmental assessment could be used to balance out the inequities inherent in large-scale resource development projects, ensure accountability and inject a suitable tone of precaution into decision making. Experience with federal and provincial review processes suggests, however, the Berger Inquiry may have been the high point of an era, rather than its beginning.(43)

EA is supposed to determine whether mining projects can be developed without having unacceptable impacts.

In order to truly understand the breadth of potential impacts, and to develop strategies to prevent or mitigate these impacts, there is a need to ensure thorough scrutiny of development proposals.

When EA hearings are held, it is the responsibility of EA panels to conduct environmental reviews that:

◊ include human social and cultural concerns, in addition to ecosystem impacts;
◊ provide a fair hearing to all affected parties and incorporate their concerns into the decision making process;
◊ take into consideration traditional knowledge as well as detailed, technical Western-science-based information;
◊ address the question of long-term, cumulative impacts of the project;
◊ seriously consider alternatives to the project and alternative ways of carrying out the project; and
◊ allocate enough time for a thorough review.

Due consideration of all of the above factors is essential if EA panels are to make informed recommendations on whether the development should proceed, and if so, what types of mitigative measures need to be undertaken.

Throughout the EA process, there must be a greater commitment on the part of governments at both the provincial and federal levels to seriously address all parties’ concerns. Only then will regulators be able to make informed decisions as to what is “acceptable” to all people potentially affected by a mining development.

Mining is only one of a spectrum of development options in any area. At the end of the day, if it is shown that impacts cannot be mitigated or prevented, governments must have the integrity and political will to decide that a mining project is not an appropriate development for a particular community or region.

“It’s really disgraceful the way [the environmental assessment process] operates now. This kind of thing is a throwback to the Dark Ages, and I can’t help but think that as a country, we’ll some day regret that there was so much hurry to give so much away to the multinationals when there was plenty of time to think this thing through. After all, the diamonds aren’t going to go away.”

—David Schindler, participant in the assessment of BHP’s Ekati diamond mine
Appendix 1: Contact Organizations

Here are a few places to look for further information on mining impacts. Groups with underlined names are members of MiningWatch Canada.

**Canadian Arctic Resources Committee (CARC)**

This citizens’ organization with offices in Yellowknife and Ottawa conducts research and advocacy work on issues related to mineral exploration and development in the Slave Geological Province between Yellowknife and the Arctic coast.

*In Yellowknife:*
tel: (867) 873-4715
e-mail: nwt@carc.org

*In Ottawa:*
Suite 200, 7 Hinton St.
Ottawa, ON K1Y 4P1
tel: (613) 759-4284
e-mail: info@carc.org

**CAW Social Justice Fund**

The CAW Social Justice Fund provides solidarity assistance to non-profit and humanitarian projects within Canada and around the world. The CAW Social Justice Fund was first negotiated in 1990. Where CAW members have won the Social Justice Fund in negotiations, the employer pays into the Fund one cent per hour worked per worker.

205 Placer Court
North York, ON M2H 3H9
tel: (416) 495-6459
fax: (416) 495-6554
e-mail: cawint@caw.ca
web: [http://www.caw.ca](http://www.caw.ca)

**Canadian Environmental Law Association (CELA)**

The Canadian Environmental Law Association (CELA) is a non-profit, public interest organization established in 1970 to use existing laws to protect the environment and to advocate environmental law reforms. It is also a free legal advisory clinic for the public, and will act at hearings and in courts on behalf of citizens or citizens’ groups who are otherwise unable to afford legal assistance.

401-517 College Street
Toronto ON M6G 4A2
tel: (416) 960-2284
fax (416) 960-9392
e-mail: cela@web.ca
web: [http://www.cela.ca](http://www.cela.ca)

**Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy (CIELAP)**

Founded in 1970, The Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy (CIELAP) is an independent, not-for-profit research and education organization. CIELAP’s mission is to develop and advance proposals for the reform of environmental law and public policy. CIELAP’s research is presented in a manner that assists public interest groups, government, industry and individuals in their daily decision-making.

517 College Street, Suite 400
Toronto On M6G 4A2
tel: 416-923-3529
fax: 416-923-5949
e-mail: cielap@cielap.org
web: [http://www.cielap.org/](http://www.cielap.org/)

**Canadian Nature Federation (CNF)**

CNF is a non-profit conservation organization with over 40,000 supporters and a network of more than 100 affiliated naturalist groups. The CNF’s mission is to protect nature, its diversity and the processes that sustain it.

Suite 606, One Nicholas Street
Ottawa ON K1N 7B7
tel: 1-800-267-4088
email: cnf@cnf.ca
web: [http://www.cnf.ca](http://www.cnf.ca/)

**Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (CPAWS)**

CPAWS works to achieve the creation of New Parks, to protect the integrity of existing parks, to protect natural connections, and to improve conservation laws and policy.

Suite 506, 880 Wellington Street
Ottawa ON K1R 6K7
tel: 1 (800) 333-WILD (9453) or (613) 569-7226 (in Ottawa)
fax: (613) 569-7098
e-mail: info@cpaws.org

**Citizens Mining Council of Newfoundland and Labrador**

The Citizens Mining Council provides support and information to communities on mining and mine impacts.

34 Rennie’s Mill Road
St. John’s Nfld
A1C 3P8
tel/fax: (709) 722-8159

**Environmental Mining Council of British Columbia (EMCBC)**

EMCBC works with communities, labour unions, government and industry toward the environmental reform of mining practices and regulation through research, education, dialogue and advocacy.

201-607 Yates Street
Victoria BC V8W 2A7
tel: (250) 384-2686
fax: (250) 384-2620
e-mail:
Innu Nation

The Innu of eastern Quebec and Labrador have opposed mineral exploration at Emish since 1995. The Voisey's Bay nickel mine at Emish is the latest threat to Innu land and Innu rights, intensifying the impacts of the low-level flight training, road expansion, industrial forestry and hydroelectric developments which have already had profound cultural and environmental consequences.

Sheshatshui, LB A0P 1MO
Telephone 709-497-8398
Fax (709) 497-8396
Web site: http://www.innu.ca
Email: innuenv@web.net

Mineral Policy Center
(MPC)

This US-based organization is dedicated to preventing environmental impacts associated with irresponsible mining and mineral development, and to cleaning up pollution caused by past mining. MPC carries out mining-related research; publishes books and reports; lobbies for legislative and regulatory reform of mining laws; provides technical assistance for mining-affected communities; and sponsors community-based workshops on mining.

tel: (202) 887-1872
email: mpc@mineralpolicy.org
web: http://www.mineralpolicy.org

Project Underground

Project Underground works toward exposing corporate environmental and human rights abuses, and supports communities facing the mining and oil industries.

tel: (510) 705-8981
email: project_underground@mole.org
web: http://www.mole.org

Inter Pares

The name Inter Pares means “among equals”, and reflects the collaborative approach taken by this international development organization.

58 Arthur Street
Ottawa ON K1R 7B7
tel: (613) 569-3439

North Watch

Northwatch is a regional coalition of environmental and citizen organizations and individual members, operating throughout northeastern Ontario. Founded in January of 1988, Northwatch has as a priority issues that are of a regional nature: energy use and conservation; forest health, land use, and wilderness protection; waste management and water quality issues; mining; and militarization. In addition to acting on these issues as a representative body, Northwatch also provides support to local citizen's groups addressing these and other environmental concerns in their own community.

P.O. Box 282
North Bay ON P1B 8H2
tel: (705) 497-0373
fax: (705) 476-7060
email: nwatch@onlink.net
web: http://www.onlink.net/~nwatch/nwatch@onlink.net

Yukon Conservation Society

This environmental group located in Whitehorse, YT, focuses on issues relevant to northern Canada, including mining, forestry, wildlife, contaminants and energy.

P.O. Box 4163
Whitehorse YT Y1A 3T3
fax: 867-668-6637
tel: (867) 668-5678
email: ycs@polarcom.com

United Steelworkers of
America (USWA) — Canada

The USWA had its origins in the steel and mining industries, and is now a diverse union representing approximately 200,000 Canadian workers. The USWA web site has plenty of information on issues including: Steelworkers working for women; health, safety and environment; factsheets on mining for health and safety activists; labour campaigns; and links to other labour organizations.

National Office,
Toronto, ON:
tel: (416) 487-1571
email: uswa@uswa.ca
web: http://www.uswa.ca
## Aims and Objectives

MiningWatch Canada (MWC) is a pan-Canadian initiative supported by environmental, social justice, Aboriginal and labour organisations from across the country. It addresses the urgent need for a co-ordinated public interest response to the threats to public health, water and air quality, fish and wildlife habitat and community interests posed by irresponsible mineral policies and practices in Canada and around the world.

The MiningWatch Canada office opened in April 1999. MiningWatch Canada shares infrastructure and services with the Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (CPAWS) in a central Ottawa location.

The aims of MiningWatch Canada are to:
- ensure that mineral development practices are consistent with the goals of sustainable communities and ecological health;
- strengthen technical and strategic skills within communities and organisations faced with impacts of mineral development;
- impose appropriate terms and conditions on mining and in some cases prevent the development of projects that would adversely affect areas of ecological, economic and cultural significance; and
- advocate policies to improve the efficiency and reduce the risks of mineral development.

## Activities

MiningWatch Canada has an impact on the accountability of policy makers and industry alike with four main activities. We:
- Provide an Ottawa-based monitoring function of mining companies, government agencies, and industry associations;
- Carry out and disseminate high quality research highlighting current problems with mineral policies and practices;
- Promote a well-grounded public agenda for reform of selected industry practices and government policy;
- Increase regional intervention capacity by facilitating information exchange, effective communications and joint strategic initiatives among affected public stakeholders.
- Link with other activist and non-governmental organisations around the world to exchange information and strategies around specific projects and corporations as well as policy and legal questions.

MiningWatch Canada and its member organisations are able to play many roles. In some cases MWC staff take a lead in carrying out research and advocacy efforts; in

### Selected MiningWatch Canada publications

(available in print from our office or on our website at http://www.miningwatch.ca):
- A Research Agenda for Communities Affected by Large-Scale Mining Activity, prepared as part of the “On the Ground Research” project, November 6, 2000
- On The Ground Research: A Workshop to Identify the Research Needs of Communities Affected by Large-Scale Mining - Workshop Report
- Presentation to the House of Commons Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs on mining issues in Central Asia
- Submission to the Public Hearings on applications by Canadian United Minerals for licences to conduct mineral exploration in Tombstone Park, May 1, 2000
- Submission to CEAA regarding the Five-Year Review of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA), March 31, 2000
- Abandoned Mines in Canada - a study done by W.O. Mackasey for MiningWatch
- Critique of the Mining Association of Canada Environmental Progress Report, 1999
- Mining’s Toxic Orphans: A Plan for Action on Federal Contaminated and Unsafe Mines
others MWC plays a limited facilitation or support role or watching brief as other organisations with particular expertise or jurisdiction take the lead.

MiningWatch Canada will take primary responsibility for delivering the following over and above its specific Ottawa-based monitoring and support activities over the next two years:

◊ Seminar to develop a Community-based participatory framework to account for the full costs of mining. This will bring together community representatives from around the world who had suffered the impacts of Canadian mining companies to share their stories.
◊ Develop and deliver a critical review of a number of government policies affecting the minerals sector.
◊ Development and publication of a Framework for Responsible Mining Practices in collaboration with the USA’s Mineral Policy Center.
◊ Community support and capacity building in the regions, including a national database/inventory of advanced mining projects, Web site, listserv and quarterly email bulletin, an expert referral directory, and a small grants support program to assist regional group campaigns and programs.

**Board of Directors**

Legally, MiningWatch Canada is a federally registered non-profit society, with an thirteen-member Board of Directors.

The Directors have expertise and experience in geoscience, human health sciences, political communications, resource law, campaigning, organisational management and fund-raising, international development and aboriginal issues.

---

**Personnel**

The MWC national office is staffed by:

◊ **National Coordinator**, Joan Kuyek
◊ **Research Co-ordinator**, Catherine Coumans
◊ **Communications Coordinator**, Jamie Kneen.

**Membership**

Membership in MWC is comprised of not-for-profit organisations working on environmental, social justice, international development and aboriginal issues. Member groups serve as primary program and campaign partners and assist in the development of strategy via input to the annual meeting. Membership applications are approved by the Board of MiningWatch Canada. Membership is not available to for-profit organisations or associations thereof. MWC programs and services are also accessible to non-member groups.

---

**MiningWatch Canada**

Founded in 1999, MiningWatch Canada serves as a resource on mining issues for communities, workers, and First Nations across Canada.

---

**Contact us at:**

MiningWatch Canada/
Mines Alerte
Suite 508,
City Centre Building
880 Wellington St.
Ottawa, Ontario K1R 6K7
Canada
tel: (613) 569-3439
fax: (613) 569-5138
email: canada@miningwatch.ca
web: http://www.miningwatch.ca
What are the social and environmental impacts of mining in remote areas?

Full of relevant case studies and documentary coverage, this booklet serves as a detailed introduction to the particularly pressing issues raised by existing and proposed mineral developments in areas like Canada’s North.